Re:

APPLICATION for compensation for material and non-material damage allegedly suffered by the applicants on account of, first, criminal proceedings brought in Greece against their manager, and, second, the decision of the Commission to take no action on the complaint by Lademporiki, lodged on 29 July 2004.

Operative part

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;

2. Orders the applicants to pay the costs.

Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Fourth Chamber) of 13 September 2006 — Sinaga v Commission

(Joined Cases T-217/99, T-321/00 and T-222/01)

Sugar — Poseima Programme — Regulation (EEC) No 1600/92 — Sugar forecast supply balance for the Azores — Action for annulment — Admissibility — Definition of traditional shipment to the rest of the Community — Statement of reasons — Compliance with essential procedural requirements

1. *Acts of the institutions — Statement of reasons — Obligation — Scope (Art. 253 EC) (see paras 75-86)*

2. *Agriculture — Common organisation of the markets — Sugar — Specific measures for certain agricultural products in favour of the Azores and Madeira (Art. 299(2) EC; Council Regulation No 1600/92, Art. 3(3) and (4); Commission Regulation No 1481/2000; Council Decision 1999/468, Art. 4) (see paras 90-96, 109-128, 136, 137, 143-147)*

Re:

ACTION for annulment, firstly, of the annex to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1434/1999 of 30 June 1999 establishing the sugar forecast supply balance for the 1999/2000 marketing year for the Azores, Madeira and the Canary Islands provided for in Council Regulations (EEC) No 1600/92 and (EEC) No 1601/92 (OJ 1999 L 166, p. 58); secondly, of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1481/2000 of 6 July 2000 establishing the sugar forecast supply balance for 2000/2001 for the Azores, Madeira and the Canary Islands provided for in Council Regulations No 1600/92 and No 1601/92 (OJ 2000 L 167, p. 6) and also of the annex thereto and, thirdly, of the annex to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1281/2001 of 28 June 2001 establishing the forecast supply balance for sugar for the Azores, Madeira and the Canary Islands provided for in Council Regulations No 1600/92 and No 1601/92 for the period 1 July 2001 to 31 December 2001 (OJ 2001 L 176, p. 12), inasmuch as they set the quantities of sugar considered necessary for supplying the Azores.

Operative part

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;

2. Orders the applicant to pay the costs.

Order of the Court of First Instance (Fourth Chamber) of 18 September 2006 — Wirtschaftskammer Kärnten and best connect Ampere Strompool v Commission

(Case T-350/03)

Action for annulment — Competition — Decision declaring a concentration to be compatible with the common market — Legal persons — Acts of individual concern to them — Inadmissibility