Re:

Failure of a Member State to fulfil obligations — Infringement of Article 4(1) and (3) of Council Directive 91/271/EEC of 21 May 1991 concerning urban waste-water treatment (OJ L 135, p. 40) — Failure to ensure adequate treatment of urban waste water from a number of agglomerations.

Operative part

The Court:

1. Declares that, by failing to take the measures necessary to ensure that adequate treatment was provided for urban waste waters from the agglomerations of Bangor, Brighton, Broadstairs, Carrickfergus, Coleraine, Donaghadee, Larne, Lerwick, Londonderry, Margate, Newtownabbey, Omagh and Portrush by 31 December 2000 at the latest, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 4(1) and (3) of Council Directive 91/271/EEC of 21 May 1991 concerning urban waste-water treatment;

2. Orders the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to pay the costs.

Order of the Court (Third Chamber) of 25 January 2007 — Koval'ský v Mesto Prešov and Dopravný podnik Mesta Prešov

(Case C-302/06)

Reference for a preliminary ruling — Protocol to the European Convention on the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms — Property law — Electrical installations on private land without compensation for the owners — Lack of jurisdiction of the Court

Preliminary rulings — Jurisdiction of the Court (Art. 234 EC) (see paras 15-22)

Re:

Reference for a preliminary ruling — Krajský súd v Prešove — Interpretation of Article 6 EU and Article 1 of the Protocol to the Convention on the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, signed in Paris on 20 March 1952 — Property law — National legislation under which electrical installations may be placed on private land without the owners being entitled to compensation.

Operative part

The Court of Justice of the European Communities clearly has no jurisdiction to answer the questions referred by the Krajský súd v Prešove V by decisions of 2 May and 21 July 2006.

Order of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 26 January 2007 — Righini v Commission

(Case C-57/06 P)

Appeal — Officials — Temporary staff — Classification by grade and step — Classification in a higher career bracket — Distortion of the facts — Defective statement of reasons — Appeal in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly unfounded