by Commission Regulation (EC) No 246/2006 of 10 February 2006 in so far as it concerns the applicants Al-Bashir Mohammed Al-Faqih, Taher Nasuf, Ghunia Abdrabbah, and Sanabel Relief Agency Ltd;

3. Orders the Council of the European Union to pay, in addition to its own costs, those incurred by the applicants, and the sums advanced by way of legal aid by the cashier of the General Court;

4. Orders the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the European Commission to bear their own costs.

Judgment of the General Court (Second Chamber) of 29 September 2010 — Interflon v OHIM — Illinois Tool Works (FOODLUBE)

(Case T-200/08)

(Community trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — Community trade mark FOODLUBE — Absolute grounds for refusal — Descriptiveness — Distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) and (c) and Article 51(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 40/94 (now Article 7(1)(b) and (c) and Article 52(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

Community trade mark — Surrender, revocation and invalidity — Absolute grounds of invalidity — Registration contrary to Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation No 40/94 (Council Regulation No 40/94, Arts 7(1)(b), and (c) and 51(1)(a)) (see paras 31, 47-48, 61-66)

Re:

ACTION brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 3 March 2008 (Case R 638/2007-2) concerning invalidity proceedings between Interflon BV and Illinois Tool Works, Inc.

Information relating to the case

Registered Community trade mark of which cancellation sought:	Word mark FOODLUBE for goods in Classes 1 and 4 — Registration No 1647734
Proprietor of the Community trade mark:	Illinois Tools Works, Inc.
Party requesting the declaration of invalidity of the Community trade mark:	Interflon BV
Trade mark of the party seeking cancellation:	The applicant argues that the contested Community trade mark was registered in infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c)
Decision of the Cancellation Division:	Application for cancellation dismissed
Decision of the Board of Appeal:	Appeal dismissed

Operative part

1. Annuls the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 3 March 2008 (Case R 638/2007-2) in so far as it dismisses the appeal with regard to chemicals used in industry in Class 1, and industrial oils and greases and lubricants in Class 4;

2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;

3. Orders each party to bear its own costs.

Judgment of the General Court (Seventh Chamber) of 30 September 2010 — Granuband v OHIM — Granuflex (GRANUflex)

(Case T-534/08)

(Community trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — Community figurative mark GRANUflex — Previous company name and business name GRANUFLEX — Relative ground for refusal — Article 8(4) and Article 52(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 40/94 (now Article 8(4) and Article 53(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

Community trade mark — Surrender, revocation and invalidity — Relative grounds of invalidity — Registration contrary to Article 8(4) of Regulation No 40/94 (Council Regulation No 40/94, Arts 8(4) and 52(1)(c)) (see paras 35-38)

Re:

ACTION brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 15 September 2008 (Case R 1277/2007-2), relating to invalidity proceedings between Granuflex Ipari és Kereskedelmi Kft and Granuband BV.