Operative part

1. Dismisses the action;

2. Orders Market Watch Franchise & Consulting, Inc. to pay the costs.

Judgment of the General Court (First Chamber) of 28 September 2010 — C-Content v Commission

(Case T-247/08)

(Non-contractual liability — Public service contracts — Community tendering procedures — Electronic publication services — Irregularities and infringements of Community law allegedly committed by the Publications Office — Limitation periods — Causal link)

1. *Actions for damages — Limitation period — Point from which time starts to run — Date to be taken into consideration — Point at which the conditions for operation of non-contractual liability are met (Art. 288, second para., EC; Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 46) (see paras 52-55)*

2. *Non-contractual liability — Conditions (Art. 288, second para., EC) (see paras 72-73)*

3. *European Communities' public procurement — Tender procedure — Expenses incurred by a tenderer — Right to compensation — None — Exception — Infringement of Community law (see paras 86-87)*

4. *Non-contractual liability — Damage — Damage for which compensation is available — Costs incurred for the proceedings (Art. 288, second para., EC) (see paras 88-89)*

5. *Non-contractual liability — Damage — Damage for which compensation is available — Concept — Costs incurred before the European Ombudsman (Arts 195(1) EC and 288, second para., EC; Statute of the European Ombudsman, Art. 2(6) and (7)) (see paras 90-91)*

Re:

APPLICATION for damages for the loss allegedly suffered by the applicant as a consequence of irregularities and infringements of Community law committed by the Office for Official Publications of the European Communities in connection with a number of Community tender procedures for electronic publication services.

Operative part

1. Dismisses the action as in part inadmissible and in part unfounded;

2. Orders C-Content BV to pay the costs.

Judgment of the General Court (First Chamber) of 28 September 2010 — Rosenruist v OHIM (Representation of two curves on a pocket)

(Case T-388/09)

(Community trade mark — Application for Community figurative mark representing two curves on a pocket — Absolute ground for refusal — Lack of distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)