

— In consequence, annul Decision 2011/782/CFSP of 1 December 2011 and Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 of 18 January 2012 and their subsequent implementing acts, insofar as they concern the applicant;

— Order the Council of the European Union to pay the costs.

#### **Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on three pleas in law which are in essence identical or similar to those relied on in Cases T-432/11 *Makhlouf v Council*,<sup>(1)</sup> and T-433/11 *Makhlouf v Council*.<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ 2011 C 290, p. 13.

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ 2011 C 290, p. 14.

#### **Action brought on 22 February 2012 — Cham v Council**

(Case T-101/12)

(2012/C 151/53)

*Language of the case: French*

#### **Parties**

*Applicant:* Cham Holding Co. SA (Damas, Syria) (represented by: E. Ruchat, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union

#### **Form of order sought**

— Declare the applicant's action admissible and well founded;

— In consequence, annul Decision 2011/782/CFSP of 1 December 2011 and Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 of 18 January 2012 and their subsequent implementing acts, insofar as they concern the applicant;

— Order the Council of the European Union to pay the costs.

#### **Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on three pleas in law which are in essence identical or similar to those relied on in Cases T-432/11 *Makhlouf v Council*,<sup>(1)</sup> and T-433/11 *Makhlouf v Council*.<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ 2011 C 290, p. 13.

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ 2011 C 290, p. 14.

#### **Action brought on 22 February 2012 — Sorouh v Council**

(Case T-102/12)

(2012/C 151/54)

*Language of the case: French*

#### **Parties**

*Applicant:* Sorouh Joint Stock Company (Damas, Syria) (represented by: E. Ruchat, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union

#### **Form of order sought**

— Declare the applicant's action admissible and well founded;

— In consequence, annul Decision 2011/782/CFSP of 1 December 2011 and Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 of 18 January 2012 and their subsequent implementing acts, insofar as they concern the applicant;

— Order the Council of the European Union to pay the costs.

#### **Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on three pleas in law which are in essence identical or similar to those relied on in Cases T-432/11 *Makhlouf v Council*,<sup>(1)</sup> and T-433/11 *Makhlouf v Council*.<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ 2011 C 290, p. 13.

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ 2011 C 290, p. 14.

#### **Action brought on 24 February 2012 — T&L Sugars and Sidul Açúcares v Commission**

(Case T-103/12)

(2012/C 151/55)

*Language of the case: English*

#### **Parties**

*Applicants:* T&L Sugars Ltd (London, United Kingdom) and Sidul Açúcares, Unipessoal L<sup>da</sup> (Santa Iria de Azóia, Portugal) (represented by: D. Waelbroeck, lawyer, and D. Slater, Solicitor)

*Defendants:* European Commission and the European Union, represented by the European Commission